

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

INGINIO HERNANDEZ,)	3:13-cv-00083-MMD-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
)	
vs.)	January 29, 2016
)	
RENEE BAKER, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants)	
)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is Plaintiff's motion seeking a fourth extension of time. (ECF No. 172.) Plaintiff seeks an extension "of a statutory period of limiting to due process, when NDOC deny, or delay, a Plaintiff intentionally by interfere with his process." Plaintiff's motion also has attached to it two exhibits: an Emergency Grievance Form and an Inmate Request Form, both of which pertain to his efforts to obtain his legal supplies. (ECF No. 172 at 4-5.) Although Plaintiff's motion is difficult to decipher, it appears he is seeking to replenish his legal supplies so that he can (1) "be able to submitted on response as a few motions to the court and to the attorneys by Defendants"; and (2) for any motion if be coming in my way...to response the Defendants or ... be they opposition to Plaintiff's motion to response."

It also appears that Plaintiff is seeking the Defendants to send him a copy of the "motion" he sent to counsel for Defendants on January 18, 2016, which he states is "another fourth refus[al] [by N]DOC of the court order for extension of a Plaintiff copy work." (*Id.* at 2.) A review of the record reflects that what Plaintiff is seeking is a copy of ECF No. 171, entitled "Exhibit L," filed on January 19, 2016. It appears Plaintiff used a page out of ECF No. 132 (132-14) to write his certificate of service of the attachments which are marked Exhibit A-1 of 2 and A-2 of 2, an Emergency Grievance Form concerning the \$10.00 copywork extension which Plaintiff complains the law library supervisor is refusing to honor, and a Legal Copy Work Request which was denied. It appears the document Plaintiff was seeking to have copied is some 89 pages in length.

¹ Inasmuch as there is no reply due, the court assumes Plaintiff will not waste \$8.90 of his \$10.00 copy work extension to file a document that is unnecessary.